



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

File
Att

SERIAL NUMBER	FILED DATE	10/95	BROW FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	M	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	------------	-------	----------------------------	---	---------------------

HM11/1014

PETER G CARROLL
MEDLEN & CARROLL
SUITE 2200
220 MONTGOMERY STREET
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104

SANDALS O.I

EXAMINER

1636

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

10/14/95

16

DATE MAILED:

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.
Commissioner of Patents

Please see the attached Interview Summary.

JAMES KETTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/520,946

Applicant(s)
Brow, Lyamichev And Ollve

Examiner
William Sandals

Group Art Unit
1636



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) William Sandals (3) Cameron McKnight
(2) Dave Casimir, Esq. (4) _____

Date of Interview Oct 9, 1998

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 19 and 44.

Identification of prior art discussed:

Lyamichev et al. and Young et al. (of record)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

We discussed the motivation to combine Lyamichev et al. and Young et al. We discussed amendments to the claims which would avoid the prior art. We discussed the issues of nucleic acid substrate intra-strand secondary structure versus inter-strand interactions as they affect the nuclease cleavage reactions. We discussed the requirement for a primer when RNA is the nucleic acid substrate.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.